

# THE ISSUE ENDURES

## ***Eisenstadt v. Baird*, 1972**

...If under *Griswold* the distribution of contraceptives to married persons cannot be prohibited, a ban on distribution to unmarried persons would be equally impermissible, since the constitutionally protected right of privacy inheres in the individual, not the marital couple. ...If the right of privacy means anything, it is the right of the individual, married or single, to be free from unwarranted governmental intrusion into matters so fundamentally affecting a person as the decision whether to bear or beget a child.

- ▶ **How did this ruling expand on the right to privacy defined in *Griswold v. Connecticut*?**