### **DOCUMENT A**

#### The First Amendment, 1791

Congress shall make no law ... abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

Restate the First Amendment in your own words.

### **DOCUMENT B**

### President Woodrow Wilson, State of the Union Address, 1915

I am sorry to say that the gravest threats against our national peace and safety have been uttered within our own borders. There are citizens of the United States, I blush to admit, born under other flags but welcomed under our generous naturalization laws to the full freedom and opportunity of America, who have poured the poison of disloyalty into the very arteries of our national life passion. ...[T]he ugly and incredible thing has actually come about and we are without adequate federal laws to deal with it.

I urge [Congress] to enact such laws at the earliest possible moment and feel that in doing so I am urging you to do nothing less than save the honor and self-respect of the nation. Such creatures of passion, disloyalty, and anarchy must be crushed out....

There are some men among us, and many resident abroad who, though born and bred in the United States and calling themselves Americans, have so forgotten themselves and their honor as citizens as to put their passionate sympathy with one or the other side in the great European conflict above their regard for the peace and dignity of the United States. They also preach and practice disloyalty....

- ▶ To what "European conflict" is President Wilson referring?
- What does President Wilson ask Congress to do in this speech?

## **DOCUMENT C**

### Section 3 of the Espionage Act, 1917

Whoever, when the United States is at war, shall wilfully make or convey false reports or false statements with intent to interfere with the operation or success of the military or naval forces of the United States or to promote the success of its enemies and whoever when the United States is at war, shall wilfully cause or attempt to cause insubordination, disloyalty, mutiny, refusal of duty, in the military or naval forces of the United States, or shall wilfully obstruct the recruiting or enlistment service of the United States, to the injury of the service or of the United States, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment for not more than twenty years, or both.

- List some types of actions that could be punished by this law.
- Based on Document A, does this law potentially abridge any First Amendment rights?

## DOCUMENT D

#### Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten, United States District Court, 1917

[Speech is protected by the First Amendment if the speaker] stops short of urging upon others that it is their duty or their interest to resist the law...[D]irect incitement to violent resistance is to disregard the tolerance of all methods of political agitation which in normal times is a safeguard of free government.

According to this document, what are the limits of First Amendment protection?

## **DOCUMENT E**

## "Policeman Clearing City Hall Park," 1917



Original caption: 6/16/1917—New York, NY—5,000 WOMEN IN CITY HALL REGISTRY RIOT... Photo shows policemen clearing City Hall Park after 5,000 women from the East Side and Harlem had gathered to petition the Mayor against the draft.

- What do this photograph and its caption reveal about attitudes towards anti-draft protestors in 1917?
- ▶ Did these women have a First Amendment right to assemble and petition?

Schenck's Circular, 1917

# LONG LIVE THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

Wake Up, Americal Your Liberties Are in Danger!

The 13th Amendment, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States says: "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.

The Constitution of the United States is one of the greatest bulwarks of political liberty. It was born after a long, stubborn battle between king-rule and democracy. (We see little or no difference between arbitrary power under the name of a king and under a few misnamed "representatives.") In this battle the people of the United States established the principle that freedom of the individual and personal liberty are the most sacred things in life. Without them we become slaves.

For this principle the fathers fought and died. The establishment of this principle they sealed with their own blood. Do you want to see this principle abolished? Do you want to see despotism substituted in its stead? Shall we prove degenerate sons of illustrious sires?

The Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, quoted above, embodies this sacred idea. The Socialist Party says that this idea is violated by the Conscription Act. When you conscript a man and compel him to go abroad to fight against bis will, you violate the most sacred right of personal liberty, and substitute for it what Daniel Webster called "despotism in its worst form."

A conscript is little better than a convict. He is deprived of his liberty and of his right to think and act as a free man. A conscripted citizen is forced to surrender his right as a citizen and become a subject. He is forced into involuntary servitude. He is deprived of the protection given him by the Constitution of the United States. He is deprived of all freedom of conscience in being forced to kill against his will.

Are you one who is opposed to war, and were you misled by the venal capitalist newspapers, or intimidated or deceived by gang politicians and registrars into believing that you would not be allowed to register your objection to conscription? Do you know that many citizens of Philadelphia insisted on their right to answer the famous question twelve, and went on record with their honest opinion of opposition to war, notwithstanding the deceitful efforts of our rulers and the newspaper press to prevent them from doing so? Shall it be said that the citizens of Philadelphia, the cradle of American liberty, are so lost to

In a democratic country each man must have the right to say whether he is willing to join the army. Only in countries where uncontrolled power rules can a despot force his subjects to fight. Such a man or men have no place in a democratic republic. This is tyrannical power in its worst form. It gives control over the life and death of the individual to a few men. There is no man good enough to be given such power.

Conscription laws belong to a bygone age. Even the people of Germany, long suffering under the yoke of militarium, are beginning to demand the abolition of conscription. Do you think it has a place in the United States? Do you want to see unlimited power handed over to Wall Street's chosen few in America? If you do not, join the Socialist Party in its campaign for the repeal of the Conscription Act. Write to your congressman and tell him you want the law repealed. Do not submit to intimidation. You have a right to demand the repeal of any law. Exercise your rights of free speech, peaceful assemblage and petitioning the government for a redress of grievances. Come to the headquarters of the Socialist Party, 1326 Arch street, and sign a petition to congress for the repeat of the Conscription Act. Help us wipe out this stain upon the Constitution!

Help us re-establish democracy in America.

Remembr, "eternal vigilance is the price of liberty.

Down with autocracy!

Long live the Constitution of the United States! Long live the Republic!

Books on Socialism for Sale at

SOCIALIST PARTY BOOK STORE AND HEADQUARTERS

# **DOCUMENT F**

- Underline sections which may be in violation of the Espionage Act of 1917.
- How did Schenck describe the conscription process?

# **DOCUMENT G**

"First Number Chosen in World War I Draft Lottery," 1918



- Describe the process of drawing numbers for the draft. What do these officials seem most concerned with?
- How does this process compare with Schenck's description of the conscription process (Document F)?



### **MAJORITY OPINION**

### Unanimous Majority Opinion, Schenck v. United States, 1919

The document in question upon its first printed side recited the first section of the Thirteenth Amendment, said that the idea embodied in it was violated by the conscription act and that a conscript is little better than a convict.

Of course the document would not have been sent unless it had been intended to have some effect, and we do not see what effect it could be expected to have upon persons subject to the draft except to influence them to obstruct the carrying of it out.

But it is said, suppose that that was the tendency of this circular, it is protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution....

We admit that in many places and in ordinary times the defendants in saying all that was said in the circular would have been within their constitutional rights. But the character of every act depends upon the circumstances in which it is done. The most stringent protection of free speech would not protect a man in falsely shouting fire in a theatre and causing a panic. It does not even protect a man from an injunction against uttering words that may have all the effect of force.

The question in every case is whether the words used are used in such circumstances and are of such a nature as to create a clear and present danger that they will bring about the substantive evils that Congress has a right to prevent. It is a question of proximity and degree. When a nation is at war many things that might be said in time of peace are such a hindrance to its effort that their utterance will not be endured so long as men fight and that no Court could regard them as protected by any constitutional right....

- What reasoning does the Court give for its decision?
- Do you think Schenck's circular presented a "clear and present danger" to the United States?

# **DOCUMENT I**

### "As Gag Rulers Would Have It." Literary Digest, 1920

Note: The three figures in the lower left are labeled, "Honest Opinion," "Free Speech," and "Free Press." The snake is labeled "Sedition Bills."



- How does this kind of expression compare with Schenck's?
- According to the Espionage Act (Document C), should this cartoonist have received the same punishment as Schenck?

## **DIRECTIONS**

Answer the Key Question in a wellorganized essay that incorporates your interpretations of Documents A-I, as well as your own knowledge of history.

# **KEY QUESTION**

Critique the Supreme Court's limitation of free speech in wartime in *Schenck v. United States*.